

The University of Nottingham

SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

A LEVEL 2 MODULE, FULL YEAR, 2022-2023

ALGORITHMS CORRECTNESS AND EFFICIENCY

Time allowed TWO HOURS

Candidates may complete the front cover of their answer book and sign their desk card but must NOT write anything else until the start of the examination period is announced

Answer ALL FOUR questions

No calculators are permitted in this examination.

Dictionaries are not allowed with one exception. Those whose first language is not English may use a dictionary to translate between that language and English provided that neither language is the subject of this examination.

No electronic devices capable of storing and retrieving text may be used.

DO NOT turn examination paper over until instructed to do so

INFORMATION FOR INVIGILATORS:

Students should write their answers to these questions in the answer book. The exam paper should be collected and placed inside the answer book.

Question 1: This question is concerned with propositional logic in Lean.

[25 marks total]

a. How are the propositional connectives \leftrightarrow (if and only if) and \neg (negation) defined in Lean?

[4 marks]

b. What are the deMorgan laws? Which part is not provable in intuitionistic logic?

[6 marks]

c. Which of the following are propositional tautologies in Lean? (without using classical logic) ?

- (i) $P \rightarrow (Q \wedge R) \leftrightarrow (P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (P \rightarrow R)$
- (ii) $(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R \leftrightarrow (P \rightarrow R) \wedge (Q \rightarrow R)$
- (iii) $P \rightarrow (Q \vee R) \leftrightarrow (P \rightarrow Q) \vee (P \rightarrow R)$
- (iv) $(P \vee Q) \rightarrow R \leftrightarrow (P \rightarrow R) \vee (Q \rightarrow R)$
- (v) $(P \vee Q) \rightarrow R \leftrightarrow (P \rightarrow R) \wedge (Q \rightarrow R)$

[10 marks]

d. In intuitionistic logic the principle “reductio ad absurdum” RAA, $\neg\neg P \rightarrow P$, is not provable in general but it is provable for negated propositions, that is we can show $\neg\neg\neg P \rightarrow \neg P$. How would you prove this in Lean?

[5 marks]

Question 2: This question is concerned with predicate logic in Lean.

[25 marks total]

- a. How do you prove an equality of the form $a = a$ in Lean? How do you use an assumption of the form $h : a = b$?

[5 marks]

- b. Given a type of `People` and a predicate `Loves`, where `Loves x y` means x loves y .

```
variable People : Type
variable Loves : People → People → Prop
```

Translate the following English expressions into predicate logic using Lean syntax:

- (i) Everybody loves somebody.
- (ii) Somebody is loved by everybody.
- (iii) Love isn't transitive.
- (iv) There are people who don't love anybody.
- (v) Love isn't symmetric.

[15 marks]

- c. How do you specify that `A : Type` is non-empty? How do you specify that it is empty?

[5 marks]

Question 3: This question is concerned with reasoning about booleans and natural numbers in Lean.

[25 marks total]

a. Define a function

```
implb : bool → bool → bool
```

such that

$$\forall x y : \text{bool},$$

$$(x = \text{tt}) \rightarrow (y = \text{tt}) \leftrightarrow \text{implb } x \ y = \text{tt}$$

(you don't have to prove it).

[5 marks]

b. Which of the following propositions about booleans are provable in Lean? For which ones can we prove their negation? Can it happen that we cannot prove a proposition about booleans nor their negation?

(i) $\forall x : \text{bool}, \exists y : \text{bool}, x \neq y$

(ii) $\exists x : \text{bool}, \forall y : \text{bool}, x \neq y$

(iii) $\forall x : \text{bool}, \exists y : \text{bool}, x = y$

(iv) $\exists x : \text{bool}, \forall y : \text{bool}, x = y$

[10 marks]

c. We define a function by recursion over the natural numbers:

```
def foo : ℕ → ℕ
```

```
| zero := 1
```

```
| (succ zero) := 0
```

```
| (succ (succ n)) := succ (succ (foo n))
```

What are the values of `foo 4` and `foo 5`?

Which of the following properties hold?

(i) `foo` is injective.

$$\forall x y : \mathbb{N}, \text{foo } x = \text{foo } y \rightarrow x = y$$

(ii) `foo` is surjective.

$$\forall y : \mathbb{N}, \exists x : \mathbb{N}, \text{foo } x = y$$

(iii) `foo` has a fixpoint.

$$\exists x : \mathbb{N}, \text{foo } x = x$$

[10 marks]

Question 4: This question is concerned with reasoning about lists and trees in Lean.

[25 marks total]

a. What is the definition of `list` as an inductive type in Lean?

[4 marks]

b. We define `append` as follows:

```
definition append : list A → list A → list A
| []      l := l
| (h :: s) t := h :: (append s t)
```

```
local notation l1 ++ l2 := append l1 l2
```

Which of the following propositions about `++` hold?

- (i) $\forall l : \text{list } A, [] ++ l = l$
- (ii) $\forall l m : \text{list } A, l ++ m = m ++ l$
- (iii) $\exists l : \text{list } A, l ++ l = l$
- (iv) $\forall l m_1 m_2 : \text{list } A, l ++ m_1 = l ++ m_2 \rightarrow m_1 = m_2$

[8 marks]

c. We define trees whose leaves are labelled with natural numbers:

```
inductive Tree : Type
| leaf : ℕ → Tree
| node : Tree → Tree → Tree
```

An example is

```
def t1 : Tree
:= node (node (leaf 1) (leaf 2)) (leaf 3)
```

Define a function `tree2list : Tree → list ℕ` which collects all the leaves in a list.

E.g. `tree2list t1 = [1,2,3]`.

[8 marks]

d. Given the following definition of permutation of lists in Lean:

```
inductive Insert : A → list A → list A → Prop
| ins_hd : ∀ a:A, ∀ as : list A, Insert a as (a :: as)
| ins_tl : ∀ a b:A, ∀ as as' : list A, Insert a as as'
  → Insert a (b :: as) (b :: as')
```

```
inductive Perm : list A → list A → Prop
| perm_nil : Perm [] []
| perm_cons : ∀ a : A, ∀ as bs bs' : list A,
  Perm as bs → Insert a bs bs' → Perm (a :: as) bs'
```

How do you prove `Perm [1,2] [2,1]`?

[5 marks]